GM Vlado Kovačević

KNIGHT ENDGAMES



Author GM Vlado Kovačević

Cover design **Zdravko Ćirić**

Translator **B. A. FM Vuk Žegarac**

Typesetting **Katarina Tadić**

Editor-in-chief **GM Branko Tadić**

© Copyright 2024 Chess Fortress

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means: electronic, magnetic tape, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission in writing from the publisher.

ISBN 978-86-82410-07-2

Izdavač

CHESS FORTRESS 11060 Beograd, Vojvode Micka Krstića 9, Srbija

e-mail: info@chessfortress.com website: www.chessfortress.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

KEY TO SYMBOLS	4
PREFACE	5
KNIGHT ENDGAMES	7
1. Knight and Pawns Endings	9
2. Knight(s) versus Pawn	15
2.1. Knight versus One Pawn	15
2.2. Knight versus Two Pawns	24
2.3. Knight versus Three Pawns	34
2.4. Two Knights versus One Pawn	43
3. Knight and Pawn(s) versus Pawn(s)	50
3.1. Knight and One Pawn versus One Pawn	50
3.2. Knight and One Pawn versus Two Pawns	65
3.3. Knight and One Pawn versus Three Pawns	87
3.4. Knight and Two or Three Pawns versus More Pawns	91
4. Knight and One Pawn versus Knight	101
4.1. Pawn on Seventh Rank	101
4.2. Pawn on Sixth Rank	106
4.3. Pawn Far from Promotion Square	146
5. Knight and Two Pawns versus Knight	157
5.1. Connected Pawns	157
5.2. Isolated Pawns	163
5.3. Doubled Pawns	169
6. Knight and One Pawn versus Knight and One Pawn	179
7. Knight and Two Pawns versus Knight and One Pawn	197
7.1. Connected Pawns – None of Them Passed	198
7.2. Connected Pawns with One Passed Pawn	205
7.3. Connected Passed Pawns	218
7.4. Isolated Pawns with One Passed Pawn	227
7.5. Isolated Passed Pawns	238
8. Knight and Two Pawns versus Knight and Two Pawns	247
8.1. No Passed Pawns	247
8.2. Passed Pawns	253
9. Knight and Three Pawns versus Knight and Two Pawns	262
9.1. Pawns on Either Wing	263
9.2. Pawns on Same Wing – One passed Pawn	277
9.3. Pawns on Same Wing – No Passed Pawn	285
10. Knight and Three Pawns versus Knight and Three Pawns	301
11. Complex Knight Endings	315

KEY TO SYMBOLS

- \pm White stands slightly better
- $\overline{\mp}$ Black stands slightly better
- ± White is better
- \mp Black is better
- +- White has a decisive advantage
- -+ Black has a decisive advantage
- = equality
- ∞ unclear
- $\overline{\infty}$ with compensation
- \rightarrow with an attack
- ↑ with initiative
- \Rightarrow with counterplay
- ⊙ zugzwang
- # mate
- ! a good move
- !! an excellent move
- ? a weak move
- ?? a blunder
- !? an interesting move
- ?! a dubious move
- \triangle with the idea
- □ only move
- ightarrow better is
- ⊕ time
- N a novelty
- (ch) championship

PREFACE

World chess literature abounds in numerous works in which the rich chess experience accumulated over the centuries has been systematically presented. Due to a richness of possibilities and the brilliancy of combinations, the attention of most authors has been centred on the theory of openings and the middle game. By comparison, only a relatively small number of works has been dedicated to the theory of the chess endings. Perhaps because of this, and also due to the wrong approach in the training of the young players, many players have considered the chess endings as less important, as something unpleasant that cannot be avoided from time to time. The truth, however, is quite different! The most profound secrets of chess are hidden in chess endings, the thorough knowledge of the endgame directly determines the horizons of our chess culture.

Not only beginners but also chess coaches and already established players feel the constant need to perfect their endgame technique. There are many reasons for this. I will confine myself to the three reasons that I consider of paramount importance: pedagogical, strategical and temporal.

In order to understand the essence of the game of chess – which is governed by dialectical principles whereby no "law" is absolute or permanent, the knowledge and understanding of the tactical complexity of individual pieces is absolutely essential. As new knowledge is best assimilated by adopting the proven didactic axioms "from the easier to the more difficult", from the "simple to the more complex", the most natural way to discovering, learning and completely understanding the chess game must start with the study of the simplest endings. This initial knowledge is a cornerstone on which the future chess foundation will be erected. The significance of a "tempo", the role of the pawn structure and its influence on the activity of the chess pieces, the better positioning of the king, are all essential elements that stand out especially in this stage of the game.

The average reader undoubtedly knows that the chess game consists of three parts: openings, middle-game and endings. In the opening stage of the game the player tries to deploy his pieces as harmoniously as possible, this will facilitate his task in the middle-game to dominate space and gain a material advantage – a first step towards victory. This advantage is rarely realised in the middle game – rather it is achieved during the transposition to the ending or in the ending itself, usually with very little time remaining on the clock. A player of a broad chess culture, knowledgeable of and conversant with basic chess principles, elementary endings and the more important typical positions, will have less difficulty in making the right strategical decisions in these critical moments, thus creating preconditions for a decisive advantage, or a transposition to a favourable ending. If he cannot convert his positional advantage into victory, he may try exchanging his "weak" pieces for the opponents "strong" pieces – at the same time gaining space and time – thus crowning his superior strategy.

The mastery of the endings is vital in contemporary chess due to the ever faster rate of play. In the "old days", when the games were being adjourned, the player had both the time (till the next morning!) and help of chess literature and, consequently, could excellently prepare for the resumption of the game. Nowadays, however, you must have this knowledge on the spot. The Latin adage "omnia mea mecum porto" could not be more appropriate. There are no adjournments any more, the game must be finished in the first and only uninterrupted session. The knowledge and confidence in one's endgame technique triumph, all the rest conduces to disappointment and defeat.

GM Vlado Kovačević

KNIGHT ENDGAMES

7.1. Connected Pawns – None of Them Passed

In knight, as well as pawn endings, a passed pawn – especially an outside passed pawn – represents considerable advantage. As a rule, knight and two pawns – none of them passed – cannot win against knight and one pawn. The exceptions are possible only in truly rare cases, that is, when the weaker side's pieces are exceptionally passive.

145. In endings where neither side has a passed pawn, the superior side might win only if it can by force capture the enemy pawn. Most of the time this is not possible since the weaker side can defend successfully even with a very passive king. This assertion is also corroborated by the ending of the game Zapata – Van der Wiel, Brussels 1986.



Black to play draws despite his king being "immobilized".

In order for White to win, the white knight would have to push back the enemy king away from h6 so as to enable his own king to occupy the square g5.

As this process takes too long, Black would draw easily by attacking the backward g-pawn by the knight.

1... 🖄g4

Attempting to activate the knight. To the same position leads also 1... 0d12. 0d5 0b2 3. 0e3 0d3 4. 0f6, as well as the illogical 1... 0h1 2. 0e20f2 3. 0g3 0g4 4. 0f1 0f2 5. 0e30d3 6. 0f6 followed by 0f5+

2. ∕∆d5

White does not allow the black knight's re-entry into play via e3. Black has to return to the initial position since the king cannot let go of the square g5.

2... ∕⊘f2

A blunder is 2... ⊘h2? due to 3. ⊘e3+- entrapping the black knight and winning the h-pawn by force thanks to zugzwang.

3. ⊘e3

White prepares the knight transfer to f5 with the aim of pushing back the enemy king and occupying the critical square g5, at the same time restraining even more the mobility of the black knight driving it to the unfavourable diagonal position with respect to the white king.

3... ∕∆d3

Unique. The black knight must leave the "box" since the victorious advance of his opponent can be prevented only by active play and the counter-attack on the enemy pawns.

A blunder is the passive 3... ②h1? because of 4. �af6 ②f2 5. ②f5+ �ah7 6. �ag5+- with an easy win.

4. ģf6 ∕ົ∆c5!



A brilliant saving manoeuvre overlooked by the Dutch grandmaster in the game. Instead he played the weak 4... ②e1? After 5. ②f5+ �h7 6. g3 his knight found itself in the most unfavourable diagonal position with respect to the g-pawn. As he had no counter-play he lost quickly after 6... ②f3 7. ②d6 �h6 8. ②f7+ �h7 9. ③g5++-

The preventive 6. g3 would have given Black the chance to permanently solve the problem of the defence of the square g5 with 6... (2)e4+=

6... ∅e6+!

Saving the seemingly desperate position. By a double attack Black succeeds in exchanging the pawn salvaging draw. 7. 含xh5 勾f4+ 8. 含g5 勾xg2 Draw!

146. White's winning prospects increase proportionally as the pawn approaches the promotion square.

However, as was shown by Y. Averbakh in a study published in 1955, Black can defend successfully even with a pawn on the seventh rank and the relatively passive knight.



White to play cannot win since Black will, as in the previous example, activate the knight in time and save a draw by a counter-attack.

1. �e5+!

This very unpleasant move calls for top-level precision on the part of Black.

1... ģg8!

The only move. Obviously weak is 1... 含e7? due to 2. ②g6+ 含f7 3. ②xf8 含xf8 4. 含g6 含g8 5. h6 gxh6 6. 含xh6+- with an easy win in the pawn ending.

Nor is good 1... 2e8? due to 2. g6



A) 2... ģbd8 3. h6! ⊘xg6 4. hxg7 ⊘e7+ 5. ģbe6!



5... ②g8 (or 5... 솔e8 6. ②g4 솔d8 7. ②f6) 6. ②g4 ②e7 7. ③f6 with the winning zugzwang.

B) or 2... 含e7 3. h6 gxh6 (since the intermediate move 3... 公xg6 did not work due to capturing the knight with check) 4. g7+-

2. g6

White has put maximal pressure on his opponent but Black is not in zugzwang since the g8-square is still available to his king.

Trying to shut in and win the enemy knight later on.

3... ∕∆d7!



Black escapes the lethal trap in the nick of time!

On 3... 當g8? follows 4. 公c5 and the black knight would be trapped. White easily meets defence by stalemate by the routine knight sacrifice: 4... 當h8 5. 當e5



B) On 5... ②h7 weak is 6. gxh7? (But White wins with 6. ②e4! ②f8 7. 查d6+transposing into the main line) due to 6... 查xh7 7. ②e6 查h6 8. ③f4 查g5 9. 查e4 查g4 10. 查e3 查g3 11. ②e6 查g4 12. ③xg7 查g5 drawing.

4. 含e6 幻f6

The game can be saved only by a counter-attack on the enemy pawns.

Weak is 4... ②b6? due to 5. h6 ②c4 (or 5... 查g8 6. h7+ 查h8 7. ②e5+and mate on the following move) 6. 查f7 ②d6+ 7. 查f8 ②f5 8. ②e5 ③xh6 9. ③f7+ ③xf7 10. gxf7

5. ∅∫f4

Now nothing is achieved either by 5. h6 due to 5... 2g4 6. h7 2e5!=

Or 5. 솔f7 公xh5 6. 솔f8 due to 6... 公f4!=

5... ģg8 6. ģe7 Øe4

Good is also 6... බුq4 7. බුd5 බු5 8. ප්ෂේ බුq4 9. බු7+ ප්h8 10. ප්f8 බු5 (or 10... බුf6 11. බුf5 බුh7+! 12. gxh7 g6!= with draw) 11. h6 බුd7+ 12. ප්ෂේ බු5 13. ප්f8 බුd7+ 14. ප්f7 බු5+ 15. ප්රේ බුxg6= drawing.



On 9. 솔e8 心c7+ Black will check the white king not allowing the manoeuvre 心f5-心e7+ with the subsequent driving of the black king into the corner.

9... ⁄ঠf6 10. h6

The last try since the knight is tied down and the king cannot achieve anything alone.

10... 公g4 11. h7+ 哈h8 12. 소e4 소e5!= and White cannot prevent the knight sacrifice with draw.

147. As we have already seen in the preceding example, in these endings there are some prospects of winning only if pawns have advanced very far. Then by a pawn sacrifice a strong passed pawn will be created which will either promote into the queen or participate in the execution of a mating attack. When blockaded pawns are nearer to the centre, defence by stalemate is ruled out. As a consequence, the weaker side must defend very accurately. In this regard, the ending of the game Anderssen -Steinitz, London 1866, is very instructive.



White to play draws:

1. ⁄⁄b4+!

In the game transpired the weaker 1. 公a3? allowing the first World Champion to win easily after 1... g3 2. 公b5